Influence of Corporate Governance on Bank Performance: A Comparative Analysis of Ghana and Sierra Leone Banks

Samuel Piawa Quee

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to comparatively analyse the influence of corporate governance (ownership structure) on banks performance in Ghana and Sierra Leone. Three categories of ownership structure (board, foreign, and Government) are used. The performance of the banks is measured using various profitability ratios such as Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE) and Net Interest Margin (NIM). Secondary data are collected from published financial statements of commercial banks in Ghana and Sierra Leone. Data are collected on eighteen banks in Ghana and seven in Sierra Leone. A multiple regression analysis was used to analyze the data. One of the results revealed that there is a significant relationship between banks ownership structure and its performance. Hence, it is concluded among others that executing good, sound and effective corporate governance practices will enhance bank performance as measured by its return on assets, return on equity and net interest margin. It is, therefore, recommended that Ghana and Sierra Leone should build confidence in investors and other stakeholders through reforms in corporate governance, financial reporting and corporate laws.

Keywords: Bank performance, corporate governance, ownership structure, Ghana and Sierra Leone

INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance is the system by which companies are directed and controlled (Cadbury 1992). A good corporate governance practice helps to reduce risk for the benefit of investors, attracts capital for investment and improves the performance of firms. It is considered as a significant prerequisite for the growth of an economy. Most studies support the notion that there is a positive relationship between effective corporate governance namely; ownership structure, board composition, board size and banks performance. Jensen and Meckling (1976) lay out the theoretical relationship between corporate governance and firm performance. They find out that as the

Samuel Piawa Quee is affiliated with the Faculty of Business and Management Studies, Eastern Polytechnic, Private Mail Bag, Kenema, Sierra Leone. E-mail: legalquee@yahoo.co.uk

This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International
 90

 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
 Image: Common Science Co

manager's ownership claim decreases his incentive to give effort to maximize the firm's value decreases and so the agency cost increases leading to a decrease in the commercial banks net value. Other researchers that follow Jensen and Meckling (1976) work look at the impact of ownership structure. Eldenburg, Hermalinb, Weisbach and Wosinska (2004) hypothesize that difference across ownership types is associated with difference in boards' objectives and governance. The hypothesis is tested by examining critical actions boards take, the decision to replace the CEO and the extent to which this decision differs across different ownership types. It is found that the composition of the Board of Directors varies according to the ownership structure and leads to difference in both the factors that affect the turnover and overall performance (Working Paper 3632, June 2005).

Another dimension of corporate governance is the size of the board. Yermack (1996) tests the effect of board size on the performance and management efficiency. The main hypothesis tested was that the size of the board is an important determinant of its performance, and that the firm value depends on the quality of monitoring and decision-making by the board of directors. Pagano and Volpin (2001) study the determinants of executive turnover and commercial banks valuation as a function of ownership and control structure in a country that features low legal protection for investors and discover that there is poor governance, measured by a low sensitivity of turnover to performance when country features low legal protection for investors especially for top executives who belong to the family of the controlling shareholders.

Yermack (1996) estimates a regression relationship using the ratio of market value of assets over the replacement cost of assets as the dependent variable and board size as the most important explanatory variable. Other measures of firm value and profitability used by Yermack (1996) include the return on assets and return on sales ratios. All the three dependent variables have significant associations with the board size. Companies with large boards appear to use assets less efficiently and earn less profit (Yermack 1996). It has been found that most bank governance is related to country characteristics. In general, this line of research finds that the quality of governance practice is positively related to growth opportunities, the need for external financing, and the State protection of investors' rights, and is negatively related to ownership concentration.

This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International 91 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

Doidge, Karoyli and Stulz (2007) reveal that country's characteristics are the most important determinants of banks performance. Arun and Turner (2004) posit that the increased competition resulting from the entrance of foreign banks may improve the corporate governance of banks in developing-economy. Doidge, Karoyli and Stulz (2007) distinguish between investor protection granted by the State and investor protection adopted by the bank. Arun and Turner (2004) examine the corporate governance of banking institutions in less developed economies, based on a theoretical examination of commercial banks; they argue that banking reforms can only be fully implemented once a prudential regulatory system is in place. An integral part of banking reforms in developing economies is the privatization of banks. They also put forward as a recommendation that corporate governance reforms may be a prerequisite for the successful divestiture of government ownership.

Abdulsamad and Zulkafli (2007) observe that there are differences in the monitoring mechanisms of banking firms and non-bank firms by analyzing the corporate governance of listed banking firms in nine Asian emerging markets. The categories of corporate governance mechanisms that serve to monitor the banking firms fall into first, ownership monitoring mechanism (larger shareholders, government ownership, foreign ownership); second, internal control monitoring mechanism (CEO duality, Board size, board independence); third, regulatory monitoring mechanism and fourth, disclosure monitoring mechanism.

Spong and Sullivan (2007) use a random sample of State-chartered community banks to measure how different aspects of corporate governance influence bank performance, and establish that an ownership stake for hired managers can help produce better commercial bank performance, reliable with a reduction in principal-agent problems lay down or assumed by financial theory. They also find out that boards of directors are likely to have a more positive effect on commercial bank performance when directors have an important financial interest in the bank; and that the wealth and the financial positions of managers and directors importantly influence their own attitudes toward taking risk and their bank's risk-return trade-offs.

In this study, three dependent variables were looked at; namely return on assets, return on equity, net interest margin and past performance as the independent variable. Return on assets was selected because of its relative use in past research work in determining how profitable a bank is. Examples in

Copperstone University, Zambia.

the case of banks were the research on bank performance and corporate governance by Barako and Tower (2007) and Kyereboah-Coleman (2007) who study corporate governance and firm performance with specific emphasis on African firms; return on assets was also employed to determine how profitable a firm could be. The independent variables used in the study were the ownership structure namely; level of board ownership, foreign ownership and government ownership.

It is important to note that board ownership varies between banks and companies due to their difference in operating models (Adams and Mehran, 2003). The Agency Theory, according to Udin and Javid (2011), which states that there is positive association between managerial ownership and financial performance because of the convergence between managers and owners' interest is in line with research by Jensen and Meckling (1976). It is, thus, possible to deduce that Board ownership has a positive relationship with firm performance (Barako & Tower, 2007). Other researches have also been done in different sectors which further signify the positive relationship between board ownership and firm performance (Allen and Gale, 2000). A major example was the study by Palia and Lichtenberg (1999) using a sample of 255 manufacturing firms. However, the scenario might not be applicable in the case of banks, because of the difference in the ownership structure and stakeholders. It is believed that with increased board ownership, there might be greater conflict of interest with the depositors and shareholders (Barako & Tower, 2007). Pinteris (2002) states that agency conflict between bank owners and banks depositors was identified as amongst the causes of negative relationship. Fogelberg and Griffith (2000) and Hirschey (1999) further correlate the results from the Argentinean banking industry.

Many researches have been carried out on firm performance with foreign ownership as a variable. The results have, however, been inconsistent; some showing strong correlation and others not showing any relationship. A good example was seen in the study by Nada (2004) which indicates that foreign owned banks are less efficient than the domestic ones. The shortcoming, also stated by Nada (2004), is that it was conducted mainly in the developed economies while neglecting developing countries. It is, however, important to note that in these developed economies, the domestic banks are highly regulated, and older and more sophisticated than the foreign banks. Nevertheless, Claessens, Demirgüç-Kunt and Huizinga (2000) state that foreign

owned banks report significantly higher interest margins and higher net profit than domestic banks. A lot of reasons are attributed to the good performance of foreign owned as compared to domestic owned banks.

Government ownership of banks has many perspectives to different groups of people; it also affects the outcome or possible results of the banks (Decker, 2011). Two common perspectives are those from the development side and the political side (Barako & Tower, 2007). Government ownership is prevalent in countries with low level of per capita income (La Porta, Lopez-De-Silanes and Shleifer, 2002). Thus, development theorists are of the opinion that government ownership of banks increases the chances of allocating credit to long-term socially desirable projects that otherwise may not get private funding (La Porta, Lopez-De-Silanes and Shleifer, 2002). On the point of the political theorist, government ownership of banks creates an avenue for promoting and propagating political patronage that adversely affects performance of these institutions (Barako & Tower, 2007). Barth, Caprio and Levine (2004) have shown that government ownership of banks impacts negatively on the banks' performance.

Financial Performance is a subjective measure of how well a firm can use assets to generate revenues (Adongo and Jagongo, 2013). This term is also used as a general measure of a firm's overall financial health over a given period of time, and can be used to compare similar firms across the same industry or to compare industries or sectors in aggregation (Verma, Nd). Line items such as revenue from operations, operating income or cash flow from operations can be used, as well as total unit sales (Kenton, 2020). Kenton (2020) further posits that the investor may wish to look deeper into financial statements and seek out margin growth rates or any declining debt.

This study focuses on the influence of corporate governance (ownership structure) on the performance of commercial banks in Ghana and Sierra Leone. The study covered the period 2008 to 2012. The main aim is to comparatively analyse the influence of corporate governance (ownership structure) on the performance of commercial banks in Ghana and Sierra Leone.

METHOD

This study adopted the descriptive research design to comparatively analyse the influence of corporate governance (ownership structure) on the performance

of Commercial banks in Ghana and Sierra Leone. The study covered the period 2008 to 2012. The population comprises all the twenty-nine (29) registered Commercial banks in Ghana and the twelve (12) operating in Sierra Leone, thus, making a total of 41 banks. A simple random probability sampling technique was applied in this research to enable all the banks have equal opportunity of being selected. A sample of seven (7) commercial banks from Sierra Leone and eighteen (18) from Ghana making a total of twenty five (25) banks was selected for this research. A structured approach to collecting data was utilized. The data for the study were collected through secondary source which was from published financial statements of the selected commercial banks. A multiple regression analysis was done and Pearson correlation table was generated to review the correlation between the ownership structure and the performance of the banks. In order to assess the relationship between ownership structure and bank performance the following multiple linear regression model was fitted to the data:

 $PERF_{it} = \hat{a}0 + \hat{a}1BODOWN_{it} + \hat{a}2FOROWN_{it} + \hat{a}3GOVOWN_{it} + PERF_{it} - t + \hat{a}$

Where:

- $PERF_{it} = Performance of bank i at time t, which is measured as ratio of Return on Assets, Return on equity and Net Margin and past performance.$
- BODOWN = Proportion of board ownership to total shareholding in the bank at time t.
- FOROWN = Ratio of foreign ownership stake to total shareholding in the bank at time t.
- GOVOWN = Percentage of shares by the government or a related party to the entire shareholding of the bank at time t.
- $PERF_{it}$ = Bank past performance as it is likely to have effect on current year performance at time *t*.

 $m a = error \ term$

Given the number of independent variables, a multi regression was used to analyze the data in order to show the relationship between the variables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The descriptive summary statistics for the dependent and independent variables across the study for Ghana are provided in Table 1. The mean score for return

Published By International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

on asset was 0.02158 with minimum and maximum values of -0.091 and 0.067 respectively. The standard deviation of 0.002177 accounted for the variation between the minimum and maximum. The mean score for return on equity is 0.1509 with minimum and maximum values of 0.491 and -0.82 respectively and a standard deviation of 0.0179. The mean score for net interest margin is 0.0817 with minimum and maximum values of 0 and 0.164 respectively and a standard deviation of 0.003185. Board ownership registers an average score of 5.67 with a variation as shown by the standard deviation of 0.010336 and a minimum and maximum score of 0 and 0.435 respectively. Foreign ownership has a mean value of 53.62 with a standard deviation of 4.2. It also registers a minimum value of 0 and 0.96 for the maximum value. Government ownership has an average score of 13.54 which has a maximum level 0.9 and minimum of 0, with a standard deviation of 2.44. Also, the mean score for past performance was 0.2976, with a standard deviation of 0.0162, with maximum and minimum of 0.57 and 0.037 respectively.

Table 1: Performance	e descriptive of con	mmercial banks in	Ghana (2008-2012)
----------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------

	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Std. Dev
Return on Asset	0.067	-0.091	0.021584	0.002177
Return on Equity	-0.82	0.491	0.150978	0.017984
Net interest Margin	0.164	0	0.081719	0.003185
Board Ownership	0.435	0	5.67	0.010336
Foreign Ownership	0.96	0	53.62	4.20
Government Ownership	0.9	0	13.54	2.44
Past performance	0.57	0.037	0.297697	0.016265
Sources Chang Donking Sur		Author Come	utation (2016)	

Source: Ghana Banking Survey 2008 to 2012; Author Computation (2016)

Table 2: Performance descr	iptive of commercial banks in S	Sierra Leone (2008-2012)

	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Std. Dev
Return on Asset	0.08	0.02187	0.049624	0.002525
Return on Equity	0.31	0.0553	0.178214	0.012299
Net interest Margin	0.15	0.034	0.086246	0.005618
Board Ownership	0.01	0	0.001571	0.000592
Foreign Ownership	0.01	0	0.006014	0.000735
Government Ownership	0.01	0	0.002157	0.000627
Past Performance	0.826	-0.487	0.371643	0.068146
Source: Author Computa	ation (2016)			

Table 2 shows the mean score for return on asset is 0.0496 with minimum and maximum values of 0.0218 and 0.08 respectively and a standard deviation of 0.002525 between the minimum and maximum in commercial

```
This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International 96
```

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

banks performance in Sierra Leone. The mean score for return on equity is 0.178 with minimum and maximum values of 0.0553 and 0.31 respectively and a standard deviation of 0.01229. The mean score for net interest margin is 0.086 with minimum and maximum values of 0.034 and 0.15 respectively and a standard deviation of 0.005618. Board ownership registers an average score of 0.00157 with a variation as shown by the standard deviation of 0.00059 and a minimum and maximum score of 0 and 0.01 respectively. Foreign ownership has a mean value of 0.006014 with a standard deviation of 0.000735. It also records a minimum value of 0 and 0.01 for the maximum value. Government ownership has an average score of 0.002157, which has a maximum level 0.01 and minimum of 0, with a standard deviation of 0.000627. Also, the average score for past performance was 0.371, with a standard deviation of 0.0487 respectively.

 Table 3: Regression Results of Return on Assets for commercial banks in Ghana

 Variable

variable	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.005098643	0.459723
Board ownership	0.010003657	0.690649
Foreign ownership	0.016709699	0.069804
Government ownership	0.0178608	0.135437
Past performance	0.015465601	0.403018
~ ~ ~ ~		~ .

Source: Ghana Banking Survey 2008 to 2012; Author's Computation (2016)

Board ownership was used to set up the null hypothesis that the bank's Board ownership is positively associated with bank's Return on Assets (ROA). Table 3 shows a correlation coefficient of 0.0100036 between the board ownership of Ghanaian banks and their return on assets. Thus, the figure shows a weak positive correlation. Reason to proffer for the weak relationship can be that mostly Ghanaian banks do not have larger proportion of its share held by members of the management team as directors. Arun and Turner (2004) reveal that the increased competition resulting from the entrance of foreign banks may improve the corporate governance of banks in these developing economies. In the case of Ghana the results conform to this view. From table 3 the result depicts a correlation coefficient of 0.016709 between the foreign ownership of Ghanaian banks and their return on equity. The correlation coefficient shows a weak positive correlation meaning that the return on asset and foreign ownership of Ghanaian banks tend to increase together.

Copperstone University, Zambia.

The result conforms to the null hypothesis which states that the higher the proportion of a bank's foreign ownership, the higher the profit; based on the return on equity ratio. This is possibly so because most foreign owned banks included in the study have declared higher profits in the period under review while local banks where reporting profits below average. The hypothesis formulated for government ownership states that there is a negative relationship between governments owned banks and banks performance measured by its return on assets.

From the analysis in table 3 the result shows a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.0178608 between the government ownership of Ghanaian banks and their return on assets. It was evident that most of the banks that governments have shares reported high return on asset. Additionally, the positive correlation could be as a result of the stringent banking regulation in the banking sector in the Ghanaian economy. Table 3 shows a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.015465 between the past performance of Ghanaian banks and their return on assets. The implication is that banks that re-invest a large portion of their profit are most likely to increase future performance. Most of the banks under observation reported increasing profits successively for the periods under review.

Table 4: Return on Equity for commercial banks in Ghana

Variables	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.065857547	0.269841
Board ownership	0.107057395	0.622019
Foreign ownership	0.017593913	0.823402
Government ownership	0.116903568	0.256553
Past performance	0.187303304	0.241896

Source: Ghana Banking Survey 2008 to 2012; Author's Computation (2016)

From the regression output in table 4 there is a positive correlation coefficient of 0.107057 between the board ownership and return on equity. From the data gathered on ownership structure it was clear that most of the foreign banks operating in Ghana have a large number of their shareholders as board members hence the possible reason for having such a positive relationship between board ownership and bank performance. A large ownership of shares by board members is often believed to be advantageous to banks since there is a high probability that their interest is more aligned to that of the stakeholders and will strive to improve the performance of the

banks. The results indicate a significant positive relationship between board shareholding and return on equity.

For foreign ownership, the hypothesis formulated states that foreign ownership is positively associated with return on equity in line with past performance. The result in table 4 indicates a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.01759, meaning the higher the ratio of foreign ownership the better the performance of banks measured by banks return on equity. The regression result in table 4 also depicts a strong positive correlation coefficient of 0.116903 meaning the higher the ratio of government ownership the better the performance of banks measured by banks return on equity. It was observed that government ownership in banks such as Ghana Commercial Bank and Agricultural Development Bank were relatively high as these banks were fully regulated as to endure the intense competition in the banking sector. As a result, the hypothesis that government ownership of shares in banks is negatively related to its performance measured by return on equity is rejected. Table 4 further shows a strong correlation coefficient of 0.1873 between the past performance and return on equity. The proposition is that if banks reinvested a large quota of their previous profit they are most likely to increase future performance measured by return on equity. Most of the banks studied for the period reported large profits continually and when these profits are reinvested they have the probability of boosting subsequent year's profit. Ghana banking environment is highly competitive as a result individual banks strive to remain competitive and attractive by improving their performance and maximizing shareholders wealth.

 Table 5: Net Interest Margin for commercial banks in Ghana

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.055846797	0.165
Board ownership	0.004199438	0.906683
Foreign ownership	0.021180543	0.106268
Government ownership	0.058140835	0.0009
Past performance	0.021336406	0.418372

Source: Ghana Banking Survey 2008 to 2012; Author's Computation (2016)

Table 5 shows a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.004199 for board ownership. Thus, based on the relationship, the hypothesis set was accepted which implies that board ownership brings about enhancement in the performance of banks. When board members or management team are in-

> International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

charge of firms it is often clear that such firms will performance impressively as they will be able to align their interest with those of the shareholders. The result in table 5 further shows a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.0211805 meaning that the higher the ratio of foreign ownership the better the performance of banks measured by banks net interest margin. Reasons to proffer for such relationship could be that foreign owned banks are believed to have in place essential risk and credit management competences as to ensure that they are able to maximize interest income and minimize bad loans.

Under this independent variable the null hypothesis was that the bank's government ownership is negatively related with bank's performance measured by net interest margin. The result in table 5 shows weak positive relationship between the two variables with a correlation coefficient of 0.058140. However, this result is opposed to previous studies which concluded that government ownership of banks is negatively related to performance. The regression result shown in table 5 depicts a weak positive correlation coefficient of 0.0213364 between the past performance of Ghanaian banks and their net interest margin. The assumption is that if a bank is able to re-invest a large part of its previous profit it is most likely to experience a boom in future performance. The only downside of re-investment of profit by banks is that it does not sound well to most shareholders as they would expect dividend payment on a yearly basis. Dividend payment mostly serves as signal to the shareholders about the performance of management.

Table 6: Return on Assets for comm	nercial banks in Sierra Leone
------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.181038	0.0108
Board ownership	-12.8169	0.000658345
Foreign ownership	-13.2736	0.001672041
Government ownership	-13.5626	0.001345688
Past performance	-0.00588	0.701279935
Source: Bank of Sierra Le	one (2000): Author's Compute	tion(2016)

Source: Bank of Sierra Leone (2009); Author's Computation (2016)

Jensen and Meckling (1976) were able to establish a theoretical relationship between corporate governance and firm performance. They find out that as the managers or board ownership claim decreases his incentive to give effort to maximize the firm's value decreases and so the agency cost will increase leading to the commercial banks net value to decrease. So, as the managers' ownership percentage increases, the banks value will increase as

well. Thus, the result in table 6 is in line with the theoretical view that as a manager's claims decrease so the performance of banks. The result shows a correlation coefficient of -12.8169 which is a perfect negative correlation between the board ownership of Sierra Leone Banks and their return on assets. Reason to proffer for the perfectly negative correlation can be that majority of Sierra Leone banks do not have greater proportion of their shares held by members of the management team or their directors. Among the banks sampled, it was only one that had board ownership above 80%. Nevertheless, if the number of board members as shareholders increases, the performance of banks is also highly dependent on what level of management expertise they will have to bear on these banks.

Kapopoulos and Lazaretou (2007) use data of 175 Greek listed firms in order to investigate whether there is strong evidence that ownership structure affects firm's performance, measured by profitability. Empirical findings indicate that there is a positive relationship between profitability and ownership structure in Greek firms. Specifically, the results revealed that the greater the degree to which shares are concentrated in inside shareholders, the more efficient the firm's management and as a result the firm's performance. Nevertheless the result from Sierra Leone shows a contrary view. The key reasons could be due to poor regulation of the banking industry as it is a developing nation and do not have good corporate governance codes in place.

Arun and Turner (2004) also indicate that the increased competition resulting from the entrance of foreign banks may improve the corporate governance of banks in developing economies. In the case of Sierra Leone the results give a contrary view. The hypothesis formulated was that a bank's foreign ownership has a positive correlation with its return on asset. The results in table 6 indicate a perfectly negative correlation coefficient of -13.2736 between foreign ownership and return on assets. From the data collected it was evidenced that out of the seven commercial banks sampled about four of them were foreign owned but the result could not conform to the predicted expectation. This could possibly be as a result of the non-availability of sound good corporate governance codes and the lack of intense competition in the sector.

The analysis in table 6 shows a perfectly negative correlation coefficient of -13.5626 between the government ownership of banks in Sierra Leone and their return on assets. In various developing countries, the issue of bank

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

corporate governance is complicated by extensive governmental interference in the tasks of the banking system. This issue is related to government ownership of banks or state-owned banks and restrictions on foreign bank entry. All these factors contributed to poor performance of banks that are state owned. The result in table 6 also indicates perfectly weak negative correlation coefficient of -0.00588 between past performance and return on equity. The proposition is that if a bank is able to re-invest a larger part of its previous year profit then it will be more likely to have a boost in its current year profit.

Some of the banks sampled had increase in their returns on a successive basis. Dividend payment mostly serves as signal to the shareholders about the performance of management, so if banks decide to re-invest all their returns without paying dividend that will not augur well to shareholders. The question then arises as to what is the optimal amount that banks should plough back. Drobetz, Schillhofer and Zimmermann (2003) assert that good corporate governance will result to increased valuation, higher profit, higher sales growth and lower capital expenditure. Nevertheless this study has brought out a different view; most banks studied did not report growing profit so as a result their percentage of ploughed back profit was low which will affect subsequent year's performance.

Table 7: Return on Equity for commercial banks in Sierra Leone

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.6328551	0.001248
Board ownership	-56.194604	0.00306
Foreign n ownership	-42.151916	0.042366
Government ownership	-36.842006	0.073204
Past performance	-0.0897324	0.262307
Common Doult of Ciamo I a	ana (2000), A with $an'a Camputa$	tion (2016)

Source: Bank of Sierra Leone (2009); Author's Computation (2016)

The regression results in table 7 shows a perfectly weak negative correlation coefficient -56.194604 between the board ownership and banks return on equity. The result does not conform to expectation, the key reason being that when stakeholder theory places more demand on mangers, in the process they are constrained in achieving the objective of shareholders wealth maximization. Thus agency theory states that there is positive association between managerial ownership and financial performance because of the convergence between managers and owners' interest as in line with research by Jensen and Meckling (1976). It is thus possible to deduce that Board

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

ownership has a positive relationship with firm performance (Barako & Tower, 2007). This study has however refuted the above view. The table 7 further shows a perfectly weak negative correlation coefficient of -42.1519 meaning that foreign ownership of banks does not positively affect a bank performance. A study done by Nada (2004) show those foreign owned banks are less efficient than domestic ones. The limitations of this research were that it was conducted mainly in developed economies while neglecting developing countries. It is, however, important to note that in these developed economies the domestic banks are highly regulated, and older and more sophisticated than the foreign banks. Additionally, most regulations are often more flexible with domestic banks as compared to foreign banks. Most domestic banks are often accorded favorable terms of business thus enhancing their performance. On the basis of the result the null hypothesis is rejected though it is statistically significant at 5%. The hypothesis postulated that the bank's government ownership is negatively related with bank's return on equity. As a result, the regression output shown in table 7 shows a perfectly weak negative correlation coefficient of -36.84200 meaning that higher proportion of government ownership the better the performance of banks measured by banks return on equity. The result conforms to a past research which showed that government ownership of banks impacts negatively on the banks' performance. Examples of such research that proved this include studies done by Barth, Caprio and Levine (2004). Bank past performance was used to state that there is a positive relationship between a banks past performance and its performance measured by its return on equity. The result in table five shows a weak negative correlation coefficient of -0.0897324 meaning that past performance does not affect bank performance. The result shows a contradictory view.

Table 8: Net Interest Margin for commercial banks in Sierra Leone

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Intercept	0.310455	0.000709
Board ownership	-24.2186	0.00542
Foreign ownership	-20.8322	0.031087
Government ownership	-18.2986	0.055676
Past performance	-0.05755	0.124175
Source: Bank of Sierra L	eone (2009): Author's Comp	(2016)

Source: Bank of Sierra Leone (2009); Author's Computation (2016)

Hillman et al. (2000) argue that resource dependency theory emphases on the critical role that the directors play a critical role in providing or securing

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

essential resources to a company through their linkages to the external environment. Thus it is assumed that the more directors own shares in a firm the more incentives to cater for external resources. They propose that, directors bring resources to the company in the form of information, skills, access to key constituents such as suppliers, buyers, public policy makers and social groups. The hypothesis set was that the bank's Board ownership is positively associated with bank's net interest margin. The result in table 8 shows perfectly negative correlation coefficient of -24.2186 meaning that board ownership does not have any impact on the net interest margin of banks.

Several studies have concluded that board ownership has strong impact on bank performance; this is because the board members are assumed to bring with them certain expertise that will help to enhance performance. The result in table 8 shows a perfectly weak negative correlation coefficient of -20.832 meaning that foreign ownership of shares in commercial banks does not have a positive impact on net interest margin. The proposition is that banks with a majority of its shares held by foreign investors will have in place proper credit management and recovery procedures. Nevertheless the study has refuted this view. Possible reasons can be that there are not much foreign banks in Sierra Leone.

Most of the static research literature on state ownership focuses on developing nations and nearly always finds unfavorable effects. Individual state-owned banks have relatively low efficiency and nonperforming loans, and large market shares for state-owned banks are associated with reduced access to credit, diminished financial system development, and slow economic growth (La Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, and Shleifer 2002, Barth, Caprio, and Levine 2004, Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Maksimovic 2004, Berger, Hasan, and Klapper 2004). Research on Argentina banks also suggests unfavorable effects of state ownership (Clarke and Cull 2002).

The regression output in table 8 shows perfectly negative correlation coefficient of -18.2986. Most government owned banks suffer a lot as a result of interferences or undue pressures on its management by central government thus leading to their poor performance. Table 8 shows a contradictory view with a perfectly negative correlation coefficient of -0.05755 meaning that past performance of commercial banks in Sierra Leone do not affect current performance. A large proportion of the bank studied reported increase in returns successively.

This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International
 104

 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
 Image: Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International
 104

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this research have shown that executing good, sound and effective corporate governance practices will enhance bank performance as measured by its return on assets, return on equity and net interest margin. More especially for the Sierra Leone banking sector this study has very important suggestions for the corporate sector, investors, policy makers, international agencies, government and stakeholders. From the study, Ghana already has some sound system of corporate governance and regulations in the banking industry; the key issue is that the banking sector needs to take advantage of these opportunities so as to enhance the economic growth of the country. In view of the foregoing, the study proposes the following recommendations:

- i. That Ghana and Sierra Leone should build confidence in investors and other stakeholders through reforms in corporate governance, financial reporting and corporate laws.
- ii. Especially for Sierra Leone, that corporate governance code of best practice should be put in place to enable Sierra Leone banks maximize shareholders wealth as a result of adhering to sound corporate governance codes. That a further study may be carried out including more variables in the model such as economic wide indicators like inflation and bank lending rates.
- iii. The same model can be used but by applying it to other sectors of the economy like insurance companies for better and wider understanding of the concept of corporate governance.

REFERENCES

- Abdulsamad, F. and Zulkafli, A. (2007), corporate governance and performance of banking firms: evidence from Asian emerging markets. *Advances in Financial Economics*, 12, 49-74.
- Adams, R. and Mehran, H., (2003). Is corporate governance different for bank holding companies? *FRBNY Economic Policy Review*, 9, 123-142.
- Adongo K. O. and Jagongo A. (2013). Budgetary Control as a Measure of Financial Performance of State Corporations in Kenya. *International Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 1(1), 38-57
- Allen, F. and Gale, D. (2000). *Comparing Financial Systems*. Cambridge: University Press.

This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International105https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/Image: Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International105

Published By International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

- Arun, T and Turner, J. (2004). Corporate governance of banks in developing economics: Concepts and issues. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 12 (3), 371–377.
- Bank of Sierra Leone (2009). Financial Sector Development Plan. Accessed May 15, 2013 at <u>http://www.bsl.gov.sl/pdf/FSDP.pdf</u>
- Bank of Sierra Leone (2012). Banking Act, 2011, No. 4. Supplement to the Sierra Leone Gazette Vol. CXLIII. Freetown: Government of Sierra Leone Printing Department.
- Burns, N and Grove, S. (2001). The practice of research Procedures: conduct, critique, and utilization. 4th edition. Philadelphia: WB Saunders.
- Barako D. and Tower G. (2007). Corporate governance and bank performance: does ownership matter? Evidence from the Kenyan banking sector. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 4(2), 133-144.
- Barth J. R., Caprio G. Jr. & Levine R. (2004). Bank Regulation and supervision: What works Best? *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 13(2), 205-248.
- Beck T., Demirgüç-Kunt A., and Maksimovic V. (2004). Bank Competition and Access to Finance: International Evidence. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 36(3), 627-48
- Berger A. N., Hasan I. and Klapper L. F. (2004). Further Evidence on the Link between Finance and Growth: An International Analysis of Community Banking and Economic Performance. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 25(2):169-202.. DOI: 10.1023/B:FINA.0000020659.33510.b7
- Cadbury, A. (1992). Report of the Committee on the Financial Aspects of Corporate Governance. London: Gee & Co. Ltd.
- Claessens S., Demirgüç-Kunt A. and Huizinga H. (2000). How does foreign entry affect domestic banking markets? Financial Sector Strategy and Policy Group, The World Bank, Development Research Group, The World Bank, and CentER and Department of Economics, Tilburg University.
- Clarke G. and Cull R. (2002). Political and Economic Determinants of the Likelihood of Privatizing Argentine Public Banks. Journal of Law and Economics, 45(1), 165-97.
- Kyereboah-Coleman, A. (2007). Relationship between Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: An African Perspective. Dissertation Presented for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Stellenbosch.
- Decker, O. S. (2011). Structural change and competition in the Sierra Leone banking sector: an empirical investigation. Economic Challenges and Policy Issues in Early 21st Century Sierra Leone.

This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International106https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/Image: Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International106

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

- Doidge, C. and Karolyi, A and Stulz, R. (2007), Why do countries matter so much for corporate governance? Journal of Financial Economics, 86, 1-39
- Drobetz, W., Schillhofer, A. and Zimmermann, H. (2003). Corporate Governance and Expected Stock Returns: Evidence from Germany. Finance Working Paper Number 11/2003. <u>Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.379102</u>.
- Eldenburg, L., Hermalin B. E., Weisbach M. S. and Wosinska M. (2004), Governance, performance objectives and organizational form: Evidence from Hospitals. Journal of Corporate Financial, 10, 527-548
- Fogelberg L. and Griffith J. M. (2000). Control and Bank Performance. Journal of Financial and Strategic Decisions, 13(3), 63-69
- Hirschey M. (1999). Managerial equity ownership and bank performance: entrenchment or size effects? *Economics Letters*, 64(2), 209-213.
- Jensen, M. C. and Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of The Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs And Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3 (1976) 305-360.
- *Kapopoulos* P. and *Lazaretou* S. (2007). Corporate ownership structure and firm performance: Evidence from Greek firms. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 15(2):144-158.
- Kenton W. (2020). Financial Performance. Available Online at: <u>https://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/financialperformance.asp</u>
- Palia D. and Lichtenberg F. (1999). Managerial ownership and firm performance: A re-examination using productivity measurement. Journal of Corporate Finance, 5(4), 323-339
- *Pinteris*, G. (2002). Ownership Structure, Board Characteristics and Performance of Argentine Banks. Mimeo, Department of Economics, University of Ilinois.
- Working Paper 3632, June 2005 Corporate Governance and Bank Performance: A Joint Analysis of the Static, Selection and Dynamic Effects of Domestic, Foreign, and State Ownership
- Ghana Banking Survey 2008 to 2012. <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/</u> Net interest margin. Accessed 23 March 2014.
- La Porta R., Lopez-De-Silanes F. and Shleifer A. (2002). Government Ownership of Banks. Journal of Finance, American Finance Association, 57(1), 265-301.
- Spong, K and Sullivan, R. (2007), Corporate Governance and bank performance. Federal Reserve Bank of Kannas, Working Paper.
- Pagano M. and Volpin P. (2001). The Political Economy of Finance. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 17(4), 502-519.

 This Article is Licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International
 107

 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
 Image: Common Attribution Attrib

International Centre for Integrated Development Research, Nigeria In collaboration with Copperstone University, Zambia.

- Levine R. (2013). The Corporate Governance of Banks: A Concise Discussion of Concepts and Evidence. Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=625281</u>
- Tornyeva K. and Wereko T. (2012). Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: Evidence from the Insurance Sector of Ghana. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 4(13), 95-112.
- Udin, Shahab and Javid, Attiya (2011). Impact of Managerial Ownership on Financial Policies and the Firm's Performance: Evidence Pakistani Manufacturing Firms. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, Issue 81, 13-29.
- Verma, E. (Nd). Financial Performance Understanding its Concepts and Importance. Available Online at: <u>https://www.simplilearn.com/financial-performance-rar21-article</u>
- Yermack, D. (1996). High Market Valuation of Companies with a Small Board of Directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40, 185-211. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0304-405X(95)00844-5